Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/598,535	HERDEWIJN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence E. Crane	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>supplemental amendment</u> <u>received.</u>	
(1) <u>Lawrence E. Crane</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Sean Edman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 09 July 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: minor 112, second paragraph rejections		
Claims discussed: all remaining of record		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant was advised of minor errors in previous e-mailed proposed amendments. Applicant agreed to provide a directly filed supplemental amendment. Examiner indicated probable allowance based on previous submissions.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Lawrence E. Crane/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)